

COMMUNISM IS TREASON!



FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®

LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM

® Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

ISSUE NO. 489, FEBRUARY 15, 1967

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

"The truth,
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"

Conde McGauley
1890 • FOUNDER • 1963

WL
G1352
10489
1967

Subscription \$3.00 per year

WORLD WAR III IMMINENT MASS INVASION OF SOUTH AFRICA PLANNED

U.N. VIOLATES OWN LAW IN RHODESIA INTERVENTION

January 5th, 1967, will go down as one more day of infamy as treason runs rampant in U.S. Government. On that day, the United States joined four other nations imposing economic sanctions on Rhodesia. It was a move to implement the U.N. Security Council Resolution (which violated both Article I and Chap. VII of U.N. Charter) making it a criminal offense to trade with Rhodesia, and a move to aid Great Britain, who shows her appreciation by continuing to trade with our enemy which is daily killing American boys in Vietnam. Unless the white Rhodesians who have built up a nation out of a wilderness turn control of the government to Blacks, wholly incompetent to govern themselves, they will be bludgeoned into submission by the U.N.

On January 5, 1967, LBJ issued Executive Order 11322 ordering observance of U.N. sanctions against trade with Rhodesia. The penalty for non-compliance: \$10,000 fine, ten years in jail or both. We keep Russians alive with our wheat so they can make bullets to kill our boys; we trade with the illegitimate State of Israel which committed countless atrocities against the Arabs, but if Americans trade with Rhodesia, a nation at peace with the world, they are severely punished.

The Rhodesian Crisis

When will honesty replace duplicity? When will truth prevail over lies and mendacious reports? Why have our informers not set down the plain facts on Rhodesia, so easily obtainable and so thoroughly provable, instead of marooning us on a plateau of unreason, and setting us adrift on the sea of hypocrisy known as the "RHODESIAN QUESTION"?

Background

Rhodesia came into being almost 80 years ago. The breakthrough of the wilderness we now know as Rhodesia was pioneered by men of British ancestry. They found a country permeated with disease and wracked with warfare involving tribe after tribe.

Rhodesia has never been a possession of Great Britain. Britain has never ruled Rhodesia, nor has she ever contributed to the development of the latter. Since 1890 when Rhodesia was founded, the nation has been ruled solely by Rhodesians. Prior to 1923, Rhodesia was ruled, under Royal Charter, by the British South Africa Company. Lord Sumner, of the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council, on July 29, 1891 decided that, since the company gained conquest by its own arms, independent of and without help from Britain, the independent rights of the country were established. In 1923, this independent company granted independence to Rhodesia.

Relationships With Britain

Since 1923 Rhodesia has had free reign



"White Africa" . . . where United Nations is planning next war, and once again Christian will fight Christian in another Zionist-planned war to wipe out Christianity.

over her internal affairs and most of her external relationships. Her only British relations were conducted through the Dominions Office, which represents Great Britain with independent countries of the Commonwealth, not the Colonial Office. Rhodesia has always been regarded in Britain and elsewhere as an independent state.

When the Federation of Southern Rhodesia was launched in the early 1950s, Rhodesia, for the common good, did not press her claims for independence but agreed to work with her northern neighbors within a federation. When the federation dissolved, she worked out plans for independence which culminated in the 1961 Constitution. After the Federation dissolved, the least developed members won independence, while Rhodesia, which had attained the highest degree of political and economic maturity after thirty years of self rule, was still under the domination of Great Britain 6000 miles away! Had there been no Federation, Rhodesia would have been the first to obtain independence.

The 1961 Constitution

The 1961 Constitution aimed primarily at removing all British control over Rhodesian affairs, except for international financial commitments and treaty obligations. It was accepted not only by the British and Rhodesian Governments but by the African Nationalist Parties as well and it was naturally believed Rhodesia would derive full independence.

What was the nature of this document still in effect? It called for ever-increasing African participation in Parliament as more and more blacks obtained the qualifications and

(Continued on Page 2)

WILL BLACK OCTOBER PRODUCE ANOTHER UN WAR?

The United Nations is going to war in Southern Africa. On October 28, the General Assembly of the U.N. voted to take over South West Africa, in defiance of the ruling by the U.N. World Court that South Africa legally held the mandate over South West Africa. The invasion and conquest of South West Africa will require a tremendous military force. The U.N. has no army of its own BUT it has the authority to call up all, or any part, of the armed forces of a "Member Nation." As a member nation, the United States is firmly bound by TREATY AGREEMENT under Article 43 of the U.N. Charter to, "make available to the Security Council ON ITS CALL and in accordance with . . . a special agreement, armed forces" of the United States.

Recent Developments

OCTOBER 7th — The President and Secretary of State conferred privately with U. Thant, Arthur Goldberg, and Ralph Bunche in United Nations Headquarters in New York. Did they negotiate the "Special Agreement" necessary for transfer of U.S. armed forces to the United Nations for war in Africa? Subsequent events indicate they did.

OCTOBER 8th: Congress enacted a law permitting the President to call up the nearly two million reservists without declaring a "National" emergency. (A U.N. war is an international emergency.)

OCTOBER 11th: The President signed an Executive Order (No. 11310) conferring wartime emergency powers upon the Attorney General.

OCTOBER 13th: The New York Times stated editorially: "The United States is committed as never before and cannot reverse its direction."

OCTOBER 21st: Senator Richard E. Russell, Chairman of the Armed Forces Committee, washed his, and the Senate's, hands of responsibility for preventing the up-coming African war with United States' troops by expressing the HOPE that the United Nations will not use United States forces — BUT he says he "Fears the worst."

Senator Russell knows and acknowledges what has been so skillfully concealed from American parents — that Congress has absolutely abdicated all control over, and responsibility for, our Armed Forces. Congress authorizes the training and the financing of the Armed Forces which may be sent to war only by and under the authority of the Security Council of the United Nations. (Art. 25, 33, 39, 43, 53, and 54). The Senate of the United States, by Treaty Commitment, has agreed to let the United Nations decide for us when, where, why, and how our Armed Forces are sent to war, and it has agreed in advance that

(Continued on Page 4)

GET THE U.S. OUT OF U.N.